arXiv:1110.4426v1 [math.OA] 20 Oct 2011

QUOTIENT ALGEBRAS OF TOEPLITZ-COMPOSITION C^*-ALGEBRAS FOR FINITE BLASCHKE PRODUCTS

HIROYASU HAMADA

Abstract. Let R be a finite Blaschke product. We study the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{TC}_R$ generated by both the composition operator C_R and the Toeplitz operator T_z on the Hardy space. We show that the simplicity of the quotient algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ by the ideal of the compact operators can be characterized by the dynamics near the Denjoy-Wolff point of R if the degree of R is at least two. Moreover we prove that the degree of finite Blaschke products is a complete isomorphism invariant for the class of $\mathcal{OC}_R$ such that R is a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two and the Julia set of R is the unit circle, using the Kirchberg-Phillips classification theorem.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane and $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ the Hardy space of analytic functions whose power series have square-summable coefficients. For an analytic self-map $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$, the composition operator $C_\varphi : H^2(\mathbb{D}) \to H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is defined by $C_\varphi g = g \circ \varphi$ for $g \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and known to be a bounded operator by the Littlewood subordination theorem [23]. The study of composition operators on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ gives a fruitful interplay between complex analysis and operator theory as shown, for example, in the books of Cowen and MacCluer [6], and Shapiro [31].

Let $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ denote the square integrable measurable functions on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ with respect to the normalized Lebesgue measure. The Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ is the closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ consisting of the functions whose negative Fourier coefficients vanish. Let $P_{H^2} : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \to H^2(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{T})$ be the projection. For $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, the Toeplitz operator $T_a : H^2(\mathbb{T}) \to H^2(\mathbb{T})$ is defined by $T_a = P_{H^2} M_a$, where M_a is the multiplication operator by a on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. We recall that the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{T}$ generated by the Toeplitz operator T_z contains all continuous symbol Toeplitz operators, and its quotient by the ideal of the compact operators on $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ is isomorphic to the commutative C^*-algebra $C(\mathbb{T})$ of all continuous functions on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. Recently several authors considered C^*-algebras generated by composition operators (and Toeplitz operators). Most of their studies have focused on composition operators induced by linear fractional maps, that is, rational functions of degree one. For an analytic self-map $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$, we denote by $\mathcal{TC}_\varphi$ the Toeplitz-composition C^*-algebra generated by both the composition operator C_φ and the Toeplitz operator T_z. Its quotient algebra by the ideal $\mathcal{K}$ of the compact operators is denoted by $\mathcal{OC}_\varphi$. Kriete, MacCluer and Moorhouse [20, 22] studied the Toeplitz-composition C^*-algebra $\mathcal{TC}_\varphi$ for a certain linear fractional self-map φ.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L55, 47B33; Secondary 37F10, 46L08.

Key words and phrases. composition operator, Blaschke product, Toeplitz operator, C^*-algebra, complex dynamical system.

They describe the quotient algebra $\mathcal{OC}_\varphi$ concretely as a subalgebra of $C(\Lambda)\otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ for a compact space Λ. They also considered the C^*-algebra generated by T_z and a finite collection $\{C_{\varphi_1}, \dots, C_{\varphi_n}\}$ of composition operators whose symbols are such linear fractional self-maps [21]. If $\varphi(z) = e^{-2\pi i\theta} z$ for some irrational number θ, then the Toelitz-composition C^*-algebra $\mathcal{TC}_\varphi$ is an extension of the irrational rotation algebra A_θ by $\mathcal{K}$ and studied in Park [26]. Jury [12] investigated the C^*-algebra generated by a group of composition operators with the symbols belonging to a non-elementary Fuchsian group Γ to relate it with extensions of the crossed product $C(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes \Gamma$ by $\mathcal{K}$. He applied the same analysis to the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_\varphi$ for a Möbius transformation φ and had the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Jury [11])**.** *Let φ be a Möbius transformation of $\mathbb{D}$ and let α be an automorphism such that $\alpha(a) = a \circ \varphi$ for $a \in C(\mathbb{T})$.*

1. *If φ has finite order q, $\mathcal{OC}_\varphi$ is isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$.*
2. *Otherwise, $\mathcal{OC}_\varphi$ is isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$.*

For $0 < s < 1$, let $\varphi_s(z) = sz + (1 - s)$. Quertermous [29] considered the C^*-algebra generated by a semigroup $\{C_{\varphi_s} \,|\, 0 < s < 1\}$ and had an exact sequence by the commutator ideal.

It seems that one of easy cases except linear fractional maps is a rational function of degree two. We consider the following four rational functions of degree two:

$$R_1(z) = \frac{2z^2 - 1}{2 - z^2}, \quad R_2(z) = \frac{2z^2 + 1}{2 + z^2},$$

$$R_3(z) = \frac{3z^2 + 1}{3 + z^2}, \quad R_4(z) = \frac{(3 + i)z^2 + (1 - i)}{(3 - i) + (1 + i)z^2}.$$

Their rational functions resemble each other, but quotient algebras $\mathcal{OC}_{R_1}, \dots, \mathcal{OC}_{R_4}$ of Toeplitz-composition C^*-algebras by the ideal $\mathcal{K}$ have a different property. Quotient algebras $\mathcal{OC}_{R_1}$ and $\mathcal{OC}_{R_3}$ are simple, while quotient algebras $\mathcal{OC}_{R_2}$ and $\mathcal{OC}_{R_4}$ are not simple. This is related to a property of complex dynamical systems $\{R_j^{\circ m}\}_{m=1}^\infty$ for $j = 1, \dots, 4$. Rational functions $R_1, \dots, R_4$ are finite Blaschke products.

In this paper we consider the quotient algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ for a general finite Blaschke product R. We show that the simplicity of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ can be characterized by the dynamics near the Denjoy-Wolff point of R if the degree of R is at least two. We note that Watatani and the author [10] studied the quotient algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ when R is finite Blaschke product of degree at least two with $R(0) = 0$. We showed that the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is isomorphic to the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_R(J_R)$ associate with complex dynamical systems introduced by [15], which is simple and purely infinite.

On the other hand, Courtney, Muhly and Schmidt [5] studied certain endomorphisms of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ and $\mathcal{B}(H^2(\mathbb{T}))$, where $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ and $\mathcal{B}(H^2(\mathbb{T}))$ are the C^*-algebra of all bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ and $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ respectively. Let φ be an inner function and let α be the induced endomorphism of $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ defined by $\alpha(a) = a \circ \varphi$ for $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. They considered an endomorphism β of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ satisfying $\beta(M_a) = M_{\alpha(a)}$ for $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ and an endomorphism β_+ of $\mathcal{B}(H^2(\mathbb{T}))$ satisfying $\beta_+(T_a) = T_{\alpha(a)}$ for $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. They described such endomorphisms on $\mathcal{B}(H^2(\mathbb{T}))$ using orthonormal bases of the Hilbert space $H^2(\mathbb{T}) \ominus T_\varphi H^2(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover, when $\varphi = R$ is a finite Blaschke product, they considered a Hilbert bimodule $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})_\mathcal{L}$ over $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ and studied endomorphisms of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ satisfying the above condition.

Fortheremore, Jury [11] showed that, if φ is a Möbius transformation of $\mathbb{D}$, then the quotient algebra $\mathcal{OC}_\varphi$ is isomorphic to the crossed product by the integer group or the cyclic group as in Theorem 1.1. Let R be a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two with $R(0) = 0$. Watatani and the author [10] proved that the quotient algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is isomorphic to the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_R(J_R)$ associated with the complex dynamical system introduced in [15]. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_R(J_R)$ is defined as a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra [28]. In this paper we extend both of these to the case for a general finite Blaschke product R. We show that the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is isomorphic to the crossed product $C(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ in Theorem 1.1 or a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ associated to a Hilbert bimodule X_R over $C(\mathbb{T})$.

In the proof of this theorem, one of the keys is to analyze operators of the form $C_R^* T_a C_R$ for $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Watatani and the author [10] showed that, if R is a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two with $R(0) = 0$, then the operator $C_R^* T_a C_R$ is a Toeplitz operator $T_{\mathcal{L}_R(a)}$. Courtney, Muhly and Schmidt [5] extend this to the case for a general finite Blaschke product. On the other hand, Jury [13] independently proved a covariant relation $C_\varphi^* T_a C_\varphi = T_{A_\varphi(a)}$ for an inner function φ, where A_φ is the Aleksandrov operator defined by Aleksandrov-Clark measures [1, 4]. If $\varphi = R$ is a finite Blaschke product degree at least two with $R(0) = 0$, then the Aleksandrov operator A_R is equal to $\mathcal{L}_R$ defined in [10]. More generally, Jury also analyzed the operator $C_\varphi^* T_a C_\varphi$ for any analytic self-map on $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\alpha : C(\mathbb{T}) \to C(\mathbb{T})$ be the endomorphism α induced by a finite Blaschke product R such that $\alpha(a) = a \circ R$ for $a \in C(\mathbb{T})$. We should remark that A_R is a transfer operator for the pair $(C(\mathbb{T}), \alpha)$ in the sense of Exel in [7].

As noted above, Jury obtained the covariant relation $C_\varphi^* T_a C_\varphi = T_{A_\varphi(a)}$ for an inner function φ and $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Exel and Vershik [8] considered similar covariant relations on L^2 spaces. Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space and let T be a measure-preserving transformation of (Ω, μ). We denote S by the composition operator on $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ induced by T. They considered a covariant relation $S^* M_a S = M_{\mathcal{L}(a)}$ for $a \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ under some conditions.

We discuss the difference between the Hilbert bimodule X_R and the Hilbert bimodule $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})_{\mathcal{L}}$ considered in [5]. Two Hilbert bimodules are almost the same. But the inner product of X_R is slightly different from that of $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})_{\mathcal{L}}$. The inner product of X_R is given by a weighted sum defined by the weight function $\frac{1}{|R'|}$ and naturally comes from the covariant relation $C_R^* T_a C_R = T_{A_R(a)}$ for $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. However the inner product of $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})_{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by a weighted sum defined by the weight function $\frac{1}{n}$, where n is the degree of the finite Blaschke product R. Thanks to the definition of the inner product of X_R, we can prove that the Hilbert bimodule X_R has a finite orthonormal basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ which is the first n-th functions of the Takenaka-Malmquist basis [24, 32] of $H^2(\mathbb{T})$. The Takenaka-Malmquist basis is known as an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ or $H^2(\mathbb{T})$.

We also compute the K-group of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$, using the six term exact sequence obtained by Pimsner [28] and the finite orthonormal basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of the Hilbert bimodule X_R. Moreover we apply the Kirchberg-Phillips classification theorem [19, 27] to the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$. We show that the degree of finite Blaschke products is a complete isomorphism invariant for the class of $\mathcal{OC}_R$ such that R is a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two and the Julia set of R is the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Toeplitz-composition C^*-algebras.** Let $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ denote the square integrable measurable functions on $\mathbb{T}$ with respect to the normalized Lebesgue measure m. The Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ is the closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ consisting of the functions whose negative Fourier coefficients vanish. We put $H^\infty(\mathbb{T}) := H^2(\mathbb{T}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$.

The Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is the Hilbert space consisting of all analytic functions $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k z^k$ on the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\sum_{k=0}^\infty |c_k|^2 < \infty$. The inner product is given by

$$\langle g, h \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k \overline{d_k} \quad \text{for} \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k z^k \ \text{ and } \ h(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty d_k z^k.$$

We can identify $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ with $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ by the following way. For $g \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$, there exists

$$\widetilde{g}(e^{i\theta}) := \lim_{r \to 1-} g(re^{i\theta}) \quad \text{a. e.}\, \theta$$

and $\widetilde{g} \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$ by Fatou's theorem. The inverse $P[f]$ is given as the Poisson integral

$$P[f](z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} P_z(\zeta) f(\zeta)\ dm(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

for $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$, where P_z is the Poisson kernel defined by

$$P_z(\zeta) = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\zeta - z|^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},\ \zeta \in \mathbb{T}.$$

In this paper we identify $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ with $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and use the same notation H^2 if no confusion can arise. An analytic self-map φ is called *inner* if $|\widetilde{\varphi}(e^{i\theta})| = 1$ for almost every θ.

Let $P_{H^2} : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \to H^2(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{T})$ be the projection. For $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, the Toeplitz operator T_a on $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ is defined by $T_a f = P_{H^2} af$ for $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be an analytic self-map. Then the composition operator C_φ on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is defined by $C_\varphi g = g \circ \varphi$ for $g \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$. By the Littlewood subordination theorem [23], C_φ is always bounded.

We can regard Toeplitz operators and composition operators as acting on the same Hilbert space. Put

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} f(z), & z \in \mathbb{T}, \\ P[f](z), & z \in \mathbb{D}, \end{cases} \qquad G(z) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{g}(z), & z \in \mathbb{T}, \\ g(z), & z \in \mathbb{D}, \end{cases}$$

for $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$ and $g \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$. By Ryff [30, Theorem 2], we know that $\widetilde{g \circ \varphi} = G \circ \widetilde{\varphi}$ for $g \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$. If we consider C_φ as an operator on $H^2(\mathbb{T})$, then $C_\varphi f = \widetilde{P[f] \circ \varphi} = F \circ \widetilde{\varphi}$ for $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover, if φ is an inner function, we have $C_\varphi f = f \circ \widetilde{\varphi}$ for $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$, since $|\widetilde{\varphi}(e^{i\theta})| = 1$ for almost every θ.

Definition. For an analytic self-map $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$, we denote by $\mathcal{TC}_\varphi$ the C^*-algebra generated by the Toeplitz operator T_z and the composition operator C_φ. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{TC}_\varphi$ is called the *Toeplitz-composition C^*-algebra* for symbol φ. Since $\mathcal{TC}_\varphi$ contains the ideal $\mathcal{K}(H^2)$ of compact operators, we define a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_\varphi$ to be the quotient C^*-algebra $\mathcal{TC}_\varphi / \mathcal{K}(H^2)$.

By abuse of notation, from now on we write φ instead of $\widetilde{\varphi}$.

2.2. **Aleksandrov operators and a covariant relation.** We recall Aleksandrov-Clark measures [1, 4] and Aleksandrov operators. The general reference is [3, Chapter 9] for example.

Definition. Let φ be an analytic self-map on $\mathbb{D}$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, there exists a unique measure μ_α on $\mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\frac{1-|\varphi(z)|^2}{|\alpha-\varphi(z)|^2} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|\zeta - z|^2}\, d\mu_\alpha(\zeta)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ by the Herglotz theorem (see for example [3, Theorem 9.1.1]). We call the family $\{\mu_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{T}}$ the family *Aleksandrov-Clark measures* of φ.

Each μ_α has a Lebesgue decomposition

$$\mu_\alpha = h_\alpha m + \sigma_\alpha.$$

The absolutely continuous part of μ_α is given by

$$h_\alpha(\zeta) = \frac{1-|\varphi(\zeta)|^2}{|\alpha-\varphi(\zeta)|^2}$$

for $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. We note that $\mu_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ if φ is an inner function. For a bounded Borel function a on $\mathbb{T}$, we define

$$A_\varphi(a)(\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} a(\zeta)\, d\mu_\alpha(\zeta)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. Then the function $A_\varphi(a)$ is also a bounded Borel function and A_φ extends to a bounded operator on $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover A_φ is bounded on $C(\mathbb{T})$. We call A_φ the *Aleksandrov operator*.

The following theorem was proved by Jury.

Theorem 2.1 (Jury [13, Corollary 3.4]). *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be an inner function. Then we have*

$$C_\varphi^* T_a C_\varphi = T_{A_\varphi(a)}$$

for $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$.

More generally, a similar theorem holds for any analytic self-map on $\mathbb{D}$ (see [13] for more details).

Let R be a finite Blaschke product on the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, that is,

$$R(z) = \lambda \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z - z_k}{1-\overline{z_k}z}, \quad z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}},$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus R is a rational function of degree n. Since R is an inner function, R is an analytic self-map on $\mathbb{D}$. It is known that R is a finite Blaschke product of degree one if and only if R is Möbius transformation of $\mathbb{D}$. We note the following fact

$$|R'(\zeta)| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1-|z_k|^2}{|\zeta - z_k|^2} \neq 0, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Thus the finite Blaschke product R has no branched points on $\mathbb{T}$. It is known that the Aleksandrov-Clark measures μ_α of R is given by

$$\mu_\alpha = \sum_{\zeta \in R^{-1}(\alpha)} \frac{1}{|R'(\zeta)|}\, \delta_\zeta,$$

where δ_ζ is the Dirac measure of ζ on $\mathbb{T}$ [3, Example 9.2.4].

2.3. **Cuntz-Pimsner algebras.** We recall the construction of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras [28] (see also [17]). Let A be a C^*-algebra and X be a right Hilbert A-module. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the C^*-algebra of the adjointable bounded operators on X. For $\xi,\ \eta \in X$, the operator $\theta_{\xi,\eta}$ is defined by $\theta_{\xi,\eta}(\zeta) = \xi\langle\eta,\zeta\rangle_A$ for $\zeta \in X$. The closure of the linear span of these operators is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(X)$. We say that X is a *Hilbert bimodule* (or *C^*-correspondence*) over A if X is a right Hilbert A-module with a homomorphism $\phi : A \to \mathcal{L}(X)$. We always assume that ϕ is injective.

A *representation* of the Hilbert bimodule X over A on a C^*-algebra D is a pair (ρ, V) constituted by a homomorphism $\rho : A \to D$ and a linear map $V : X \to D$ satisfying

$$\rho(a)V_\xi = V_{\phi(a)\xi}, \quad V_\xi^* V_\eta = \rho(\langle\xi,\eta\rangle_A)$$

for $a \in A$ and $\xi, \eta \in X$. It is known that $V_\xi\rho(b) = V_{\xi b}$ follows automatically (see for example [17]). We define a homomorphism $\psi_V : \mathcal{K}(X) \to D$ by $\psi_V(\theta_{\xi,\eta}) = V_\xi V_\eta^*$ for $\xi, \eta \in X$ (see for example [14, Lemma 2.2]). A representation (ρ, V) is said to be *covariant* if $\rho(a) = \psi_V(\phi(a))$ for all $a \in J(X) := \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(X))$.

We call a finite set $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset X$ a *finite basis* of X if $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i\langle u_i, \xi\rangle_A$ for any $\xi \in X$. Let A be unital. A finite basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is said to be *orthonormal* if it satisfies $\langle u_i, u_j\rangle_A = \delta_{ij}1$ for $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$. Let (ρ, V) be a representation of X over a unital C^*-algebra A. Suppose that ϕ and ρ is unital, and that X has a finite basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Then the representation (ρ, V) is covariant if and only if V satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^n V_{u_i}V_{u_i}^* = 1$.

Let (i, S) be the representation of X which is universal for all covariant representations. The *Cuntz-Pimsner algebra* $\mathcal{O}_X$ is the C^*-algebra generated by $i(a)$ with $a \in A$ and S_ξ with $\xi \in X$. We note that i is known to be injective [28] (see also [17, Proposition 4.11]). We usually identify $i(a)$ with a in A. There exists an action $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \to \operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{O}_X$ with $\gamma_\lambda(S_\xi) = \lambda S_\xi$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\xi \in X$, which is called the *gauge action*. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathbb{T}}$ the fixed point algebra of γ. We define a faithful conditional expectation of $\mathcal{O}_X$ onto $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathbb{T}}$ by $E(T) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \gamma_\lambda(T)\ dm(\lambda)$ for $T \in \mathcal{O}_X$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let A and D be unital C^*-algebras and let X be a right Hilbert A-module. Suppose $\rho : A \to D$ is a unital injective homomorphism, $V : X \to D$ is a linear map and $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset X$ satisfying*

$$V_\xi\rho(a) = V_{\xi a}, \quad V_\xi^* V_\eta = \rho(\langle\xi,\eta\rangle_A), \quad \sum_{i=1}^n V_{u_i}V_{u_i}^* = 1$$

for $a \in A$ and $\xi, \eta \in X$. Then V is injective and $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite basis of X. Moreover, if $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfies $V_{u_i}^* V_{u_j} = \delta_{ij}1$, then $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite orthonormal basis of X.

Proof. For $\xi \in X$,

$$V_\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n V_{u_i}V_{u_i}^* V_\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n V_{u_i}\rho(\langle u_i, \xi\rangle_A) = V_{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i\langle u_i,\xi\rangle_A}.$$

Since ρ is injective, V is also injective (see for example [14, Lemma 2.2]). Thus we have $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i\langle u_i, \xi\rangle_A$. This implies that $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite basis of X. Suppose $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfies $V_{u_i}^* V_{u_j} = \delta_{ij}1$. Since $\rho(\langle u_i, u_j\rangle_A) = \delta_{ij}1$, we have $\langle u_i, u_j\rangle_A = \delta_{ij}1$. □

2.4. **Complex dynamical systems.** Let R be a rational function of degree at least two. The sequence $\{R^{\circ m}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of iterations of composition by R gives a complex dynamical system on the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. The *Fatou set* F_R of R is the maximal open subset of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ on which $\{R^{\circ m}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is equicontinuous (or a normal family), and the *Julia set* J_R of R is the complement of the Fatou set in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. It is known that the Julia set J_R is not empty.

We recall the classification of fixed points of an analytic function f. Let $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ be a fixed point of f. The number $|f'(w_0)|$ is called the *multiplier* of f at w_0.

1. When $|f'(w_0)| < 1$, we call w_0 an *attracting* fixed point.
2. When $|f'(w_0)| > 1$, we call w_0 a *repelling* fixed point.
3. When $f'(w_0)$ is a root of unity, we call w_0 a *parabolic* (or *rationally indifferent*, or *rationally neutral*) fixed point.
4. When $|f'(w_0)| = 1$, but $f'(w_0)$ is not a root of unity, we call w_0 an *irrationally indifferent* (or *irrationally neutral*) fixed point.

The local dynamics near a parabolic fixed point of an analytic function is described by the Leau-Fatou flower theorem. We may consider the case that f has a parabolic fixed point at 0 with multiplier 1. Let f be an anaytic function represented by a convergent power series

$$f(z) = z + c_{p+1}z^{p+1} + \cdots \quad (c_{p+1} \neq 0)$$

at 0. An *attracting petal* is a simply connected open set U such that $0 \in \partial U$, $f(U) \subset U$ and $f^{\circ m}(z) \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$ for all $z \in U$. A *repelling petal* is an attracting petal for f^{-1}.

Theorem 2.3 (Leau-Fatou flower theorem [25, Theorem 10.5]). *Let f be an analytic function represented by a convergent power series*

$$f(z) = z + c_{p+1}z^{p+1} + \cdots \quad (c_{p+1} \neq 0)$$

at 0. Then there exist attracting petals $U_1, \dots, U_p$ and repelling petal $V_1, \dots, V_p$ such that

1. $U_j \cap U_k = \emptyset$ *and* $V_j \cap V_k = \emptyset$ *for* $j \neq k$.
2. *The union* $\bigcup_j^p U_j \cup \bigcup_j^p V_j \cup \{0\}$ *is an open neighborhood of* 0.

It is known that the Julia set of a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two can be computed as follows.

Proposition 2.4 ([2, p. 79]). *Let R be a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two. Then one of the following holds.*

1. *The finite Blaschke product R has a fixed point in $\mathbb{D}$.*
2. *The finite Blaschke product R has an attracting fixed point on $\mathbb{T}$.*
3. *The finite Blaschke product R has a parabolic fixed point on $\mathbb{T}$ with two attracting petals.*
4. *The finite Blaschke product R has a parabolic fixed point on $\mathbb{T}$ with one attracting petal.*

Moreover, if R satisfies (1) *or* (3)*, then the Julia set J_R is $\mathbb{T}$. Otherwise the Julia set J_R is a Cantor set on $\mathbb{T}$.*

The above proposition is related to the Denjoy-Wolff Theorem.

Theorem 2.5 (Denjoy-Wolff theorem [6, Theorem 2.51])**.** *Let* $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ *be analytic, and assume* φ *is not an elliptic Möbius transformation nor the identity. Then there exists* $w_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ *such that* $\{\varphi^{\circ m}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ *converges to* w_0 *uniformaly on compact subsets of* $\mathbb{D}$*.*

The limit point of the Denjoy-wolff theorem will be referred to as the *Denjoy-Wolff point* of φ.

There are some remarks about Proposition 2.4. Let R be a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two. It is known that there exists exactly one fixed point $w_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ of R such that $|R'(w_0)| \leq 1$. Moreover w_0 is the Denjoy-Wolff point of R (see for example [6, p. 59]). Thus the fixed point in Proposition 2.4 is the Denjoy-Wolff point w_0 of R. If $w_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, then w_0 is automatically attracting by the Schwarz lemma. Otherwise, it is known that $0 < R'(w_0) \leq 1$ (see for example [6, p. 55 and Theorem 2.48]). If w_0 is a parabolic fixed point on $\mathbb{T}$, then $R'(w_0) = 1$. Moreover, if $R''(w_0) = 0$, then R belongs to (3) by Theorem 2.3. Otherwise, R belongs to (4).

3. Representations induced by Toeplitz operators and composition operators

Let R be a finite Blaschke product. We shall construct a Hilbert bimodule X_R and its covariant representation induced by the Toeplitz operator T_z and the composition operator C_R. In Section 5, we shall prove the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ associated to the Hilbert bimodule X_R when R is a Möbius transformation of infinite order which maps $\mathbb{D}$ to itself or a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two.

Let R be a finite Blaschke product and $A = X_R = C(\mathbb{T})$. Then X_R is an A-A bimodule over A by

$$(a \cdot \xi \cdot b)(z) = a(z)\xi(z)b(R(z)), \quad a, b \in A, \ \xi \in X_R.$$

We define an A-valued sesquilinear form $\langle \, , \, \rangle_A : X_R \times X_R \to A$ by

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A = A_R(\overline{\xi}\eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in X_R.$$

Since the Aleksandrov operator A_R is a faithful positive map on A, the sesquilinear form $\langle \, , \, \rangle_A$ is an A-valued inner product on X_R. Put $\|\xi\|_2 = \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_A\|^{1/2}$ for $\xi \in X_R$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let* R *be a finite Blaschke product. Then* X_R *is a full Hilbert bimodule over* A *without completion. The left action* $\phi : A \to \mathcal{L}(X_R)$ *is unital and faithful.*

Proof. Since R' is continuous on $\mathbb{T}$, there exists $M > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{|R'(z)|} \leq M$ for $z \in \mathbb{T}$. For $\xi \in X_R$, we have

$$\|\xi\| \leq \|\xi\|_2 = \left(\sup_{w \in \mathbb{T}} \sum_{z \in R^{-1}(w)} \frac{1}{|R'(z)|} |\xi(z)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{nM} \|\xi\|.$$

Thus the two norms $\| \, \|_2$ and $\| \, \|$ are equivalent. Since X_R is complete with respect to $\| \, \|$, it is also complete with respect to $\| \, \|_2$. Since $A_R(1)$ is a positive invertible element in A, $\langle X_R, X_R \rangle_A$ contains the identity of A. Thus X_R is full. It is clear that ϕ is faithful. □

Let R be a finite Blaschke product, that is,

$$R(z) = \lambda \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z - z_k}{1 - \overline{z_k} z}, \quad z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}},$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{D}$. We conisder the following functions

$$u_1(z) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2}}{1 - \overline{z_1} z}, \quad u_i(z) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - |z_i|^2}}{1 - \overline{z_i} z} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{z - z_k}{1 - \overline{z_k} z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}$$

for $i = 2, \dots, n$. It is known that $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{D} := H^2 \ominus T_R H^2$ and $\{u_i R^k \,|\, i = 1, \dots, n,\ k = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is an orthonormal basis of H^2, where R^k is the k-th power of R with respect to pointwise multiplication [24, 32] (see also [5, Lemma 3.1, Remark 3.7]). The basis $\{u_i R^k \,|\, i = 1, \dots, n,\ k = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is called the *Takenaka-Malmquist basis* of H^2.

Lemma 3.2. *The notations be as above. Then*

$$(T_{u_i} C_R)^* T_{u_j} C_R = \delta_{ij} I \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{u_i} C_R (T_{u_i} C_R)^* = I.$$

Proof. Set $e_k(z) = z^k$. We have $T_{u_i} C_R e_k = u_i R^k$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $k \geq 0$. Thus $T_{u_i} C_R$ is an isometry and $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Im}(T_{u_i} C_R) = H^2$. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *For $a \in A$ and $\xi \in X_R$, we define $\rho(a) = \pi(T_a)$ and $V_\xi = \pi(T_\xi C_R)$, where π is the canonical quotient map $\mathcal{TC}_R$ to $\mathcal{OC}_R$. Then (ρ, V) is a covariant representation of X_R on $\mathcal{OC}_R$ and ρ is unital and injective. Moreover, $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite orthonormal basis of X_R.*

Proof. Let $a \in A$ and $\xi, \eta \in X_R$. By definition, we have

$$\rho(a) V_\xi = V_{a \cdot \xi}.$$

By Theorem 2.1, it follows that

$$V_\xi^* V_\eta = \pi(C_R^* T_{\overline{\xi} \eta} C_R) = \pi(T_{A_R(\overline{\xi} \eta)}) = \rho(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A).$$

Lemma 3.2 implies

$$V_{u_i}^* V_{u_j} = \pi((T_{u_i} C_R)^* T_{u_j} C_R) = \delta_{ij} I$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{u_i} V_{u_i}^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi(T_{u_i} C_R (T_{u_i} C_R)^*) = I.$$

It is known that ρ is injective. The rest is now clear from Lemma 2.2. $\square$

Remark. Let R be a finite Blaschke product of degree n. We can also show the same statement for any orthonormal basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of $\mathcal{D} = H^2 \ominus T_R H^2$ as above, since $\{v_i R^k \,|\, i = 1, \dots, n,\ k = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is an orthonormal basis of H^2 and $v_i \in H^\infty$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover we may use $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ instead of $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ from now on, since $v_i \in C(\mathbb{T})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

4. Uniqueness

Let R be a Möbius transformation of infinite order which maps $\mathbb{D}$ to itself or a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two. We shall show $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$. In this section we consider a more general setting. Let $A = C(\mathbb{T})$ and D be a unital C^*-algebra. Suppose (ρ, V) is a covariant representation of X_R on D such that ρ is unital and injective. We denote by B the C^*-algebra generated by $\{\rho(a), V_\xi \mid a \in A, \xi \in X_R\}$. We shall show B is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$. This implies that the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ is uniquely determined by covariant relations. There have been many studies on uniqueness theorems by many authors (see for example [14, 16]). In this paper we give a self-contained proof in this case using the finite orthonormal basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X_R. Put $\alpha(a) = a \circ R$ for $a \in A$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let R be a Möbius transformation of infinite order which maps $\mathbb{D}$ to itself or a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}, a \in M_N(C(\mathbb{T})), \varepsilon > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $c \in A = C(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying the following*

1. $0 \leq c \leq 1$,
2. $c\alpha^j(c) = 0$ *for* $j = 1, \dots, m$,
3. $\|a \ \mathrm{diag}(c^2)\| \geq \|a\| - \varepsilon$ *in* $M_N(C(\mathbb{T}))$, *where* $\mathrm{diag}(T)$ *is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are all equal to* T.

Proof. We may identify $a \in M_N(C(\mathbb{T}))$ with a continuous $M_N(\mathbb{C})$-valued function on $\mathbb{T}$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $W_m := \{z \in \mathbb{T} \mid R^{\circ j}(z) \neq z \text{ for any } j = 1, \dots, m\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{T}$, since $R^{\circ k}$ is a non-identical rational function for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $w_0 \in W_m$ such that $\|a(w_0)\| \geq \|a\| - \varepsilon$. Since $R^{\circ j}(w_0) \neq w_0$, there exist open neighborhoods U_j of w_0 such that $U_j \cap (R^{\circ j})^{-1}(U_j) = \emptyset$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Put $U = \bigcap_{j=1}^m U_j$. We can choose $c \in A = C(\mathbb{T})$ such that $c(w_0) = 1$, $0 \leq c \leq 1$ and $c(z) = 0$ for $z \in U^c$. Since $U \cap (R^{\circ j})^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$, it follows that $\mathrm{supp}(c) \cap \mathrm{supp}(\alpha^j(c)) = \emptyset$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Thus we have $c\alpha^j(c) = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. We identify $a \, \mathrm{diag}(c^2)$ with a continuous $M_N(\mathbb{C})$-valued function on $\mathbb{T}$. Then

$$\|a \, \mathrm{diag}(c^2)\| \geq \|a(w_0) \, \mathrm{diag}(c(w_0)^2)\| = \|a(w_0)\| \geq \|a\| - \varepsilon.$$

□

Since (ρ, V) is a representation of X_R, we can define the representation $(\rho, V^{(p)})$ of $X_R^{\otimes p}$ such that $V_\xi^{(p)} = V_{\xi_1} \dots V_{\xi_p}$ for $\xi = \xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_p \in X_R^{\otimes p}$. For simplicity notation, we write V_ξ instead of $V_\xi^{(p)}$ for $\xi \in X_R^{\otimes p}$ if no confusion can arise. Let $B_{p,q}$ be the closed linear span of $\{V_\xi V_\eta^* \mid \xi \in X_R^{\otimes q}, \eta \in X_R^{\otimes p}\}$. We note that $B_{p,p}$ is a C^*-subalgebra of B.

For a sequence $\mu = (i_1, \dots, i_p) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^p$, set $u_\mu = u_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{i_p}$ and $|\mu| = p$. Since $\{u_\mu \mid |\mu| = p\}$ is a finite orthonormal basis of $X_R^{\otimes p}$, we have

$$V_\xi = \sum_{|\mu|=p} V_{u_\mu} \rho(\langle u_\mu, \xi \rangle_A)$$

for $\xi \in X_R^{\otimes p}$. We can rewrite $B_{p,p}$ as the closed linear span of

$$\{V_{u_\mu} \rho(a_{\mu\nu}) V_{u_\nu}^* \mid |\mu| = |\nu| = p, \, a_{\mu\nu} \in A\}.$$

It is clear that $\{u_\mu \mid |\mu| = p\}$ is a finite orthonormal basis of $X_R^{\otimes p}$. Thus $V_{u_\mu}^* V_{u_\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} I$ and $\sum_{|\mu|=p} V_{u_\mu} V_{u_\mu}^* = 1$. Since ρ is injective, there exists an isomorphism Ψ :

$B_{p,p} \to M_{n^p}(C(\mathbb{T})) \cong \bigotimes_{k=1}^{p} M_n(C(\mathbb{T}))$ such that $\Psi(V_{u_\mu}\rho(a_{\mu\nu})V_{u_\nu}^*) = a_{\mu\nu}e_{i_1j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_pj_p}$, where $\mu = (i_1,\dots,i_p)$, $\mu = (j_1,\dots,j_p)$ and $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ is the standard matrix units of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let R be a Möbius transformation of infinite order which maps $\mathbb{D}$ to itself or a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two. For any $p \in \mathbb{N}, T_0 \in B_{p,p}, \varepsilon > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $c \in A$ satisfying* (1), (2) *of Lemma 4.1 and*

$$\|\rho(\alpha^p(c))T_0\rho(\alpha^p(c))\| \geq \|T_0\| - \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Take $T_0 \in B_{p,p}$ with

$$T_0 = \sum_{|\mu|=|\nu|=p} V_{u_\mu}\rho(a_{\mu\nu})V_{u_\nu}^*.$$

Put $b = (b_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n^p} = \Psi(T_0)$. Choose $c \in A$ as in Lemma 4.1. Since $\rho(\alpha^p(c))V_{u_\mu} = V_{u_\mu}\rho(c)$ for $|\mu| = p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho(\alpha^p(c))T_0\rho(\alpha^p(c))\| &= \left\| \sum_{|\mu|=|\nu|=p} V_{u_\mu}\rho(a_{\mu\nu}c^2)V_{u_\nu}^* \right\| \\ &= \|(b_{ij}c^2)_{i,j}\| = \|b\,\mathrm{diag}(c^2)\| \geq \|b\| - \varepsilon = \|T_0\| - \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.1. □

We denote by B^{alg} the $*$-algebra generated by $\{\rho(a), V_\xi \mid a \in A, \xi \in X_R\}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let R be a Möbius transformation of infinite order which maps $\mathbb{D}$ to itself or a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two and let $p, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $T \in B^{\mathrm{alg}}$ satisfies $T = \sum_{j=-m}^{m} T_j$ with $T_j \in B_{p,p+j}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $d \in A$ satisfying the following*

1. $0 \leq d \leq 1$,
2. $\rho(d)T_j\rho(d) = 0$ *for* $j \neq 0$,
3. $\|\rho(d)T_0\rho(d)\| \geq \|T_0\| - \varepsilon$.

Proof. We choose $c \in A$ as in Lemma 4.2 and put $d = \alpha^p(c)$. It is clear that d satisfies (1) and (3) by Lemma 4.2. For $-m \leq j \leq m$, T_j is a finite sum of terms in the form such that

$$V_\xi V_\eta^*, \quad \xi \in X_R^{\otimes p+j},\ \eta \in X_R^{\otimes p}.$$

For $1 \leq j \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(d)V_\xi V_\eta^*\rho(d) &= \rho(\alpha^p(c))V_\xi V_\eta^*\rho(\alpha^p(c)) = \rho(\alpha^p(c))V_\xi\rho(c)V_\eta^* \\ &= \rho(\alpha^p(c))\rho(\alpha^{p+j}(c))V_\xi V_\eta^* = \rho(\alpha^p(c\alpha^j(c)))V_\xi V_\eta^* = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\rho(d)T_j\rho(d) = 0$. The proof of the case $-m \leq j \leq -1$ is similar. □

We now show the following uniquness theorem.

Proposition 4.4. *Let R be a Möbius transformation of infinite order which maps $\mathbb{D}$ to itself or a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two. Let $A = C(\mathbb{T})$ and D be a unital C^*-algebra. Suppose (ρ, V) is a covariant representation of the Hilbert bimodule X_R on D such that ρ is unital and injective and B is a C^*-algebra generated by $\rho(a)$ with $a \in A$ and V_ξ with $\xi \in X_R$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : B \to \mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ such that $\Phi(\rho(a)) = a$ and $\Phi(V_\xi) = S_\xi$ for $a \in A$, $\xi \in X_R$.*

Proof. By the universality of $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$, there exists a surjective homomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{O}_{X_R} \to B$ such that $\Psi(a) = \rho(a)$ and $\Psi(S_\xi) = V_\xi$ for $a \in A$ and $\xi \in X_R$. Let $T \in B^{alg}$. There exist $p, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T = \sum_{j=-m}^{m} T_j$ and $T_j \in B_{p,p+j}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we choose $d \in A$ as in Lemma 4.3. We have

$$\|T\| \geq \|\rho(d)T\rho(d)\| = \|\rho(d)T_0\rho(d)\| \geq \|T_0\| - \varepsilon.$$

by Lemma 4.3. Since ε is arbitrary, it follows that $\|T_0\| \leq \|T\|$. Thus there exists a conditional expectation $F : B \to B^{(0)}$ such that $F \circ \Psi = \Psi|_{\mathcal{O}_{X_R}^{\mathbb{T}}} \circ E$, where $B^{(0)}$ is the closed linear span of $\{\rho(a), V_\xi V_\eta^* \mid a \in A,\ \xi, \eta \in X_R^{\otimes k},\ k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and E is the conditional expectation form $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ onto $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}^{\mathbb{T}}$. Since Ψ is injective on A, Ψ is injective on $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}^{\mathbb{T}}$ by [28] (see also [14, Lemma 2.2]). Hence Ψ is injective on $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ and $\Phi := \Psi^{-1}$ is the desired isomorphism. □

We do not identify $X_R^{\otimes m}$ with $X_{R^{\circ m}}$ in the proof of Proposition 4.4. But in fact we can identify them in the following way. We note that the m-th iteration of a finite Blaschke product is also a finite Blaschke product.

Proposition 4.5. *Let R be a finite Blaschke product. Then there exists an isomorphism $\Psi : X_R^{\otimes m} \to X_{R^{\circ m}}$ as a Hilbert bimodule over A such that*

$$\Psi(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_m) = \xi_1(\xi_2 \circ R)(\xi_3 \circ R^{\circ 2}) \ldots (\xi_m \circ R^{\circ(m-1)}).$$

Proof. It is easy to show that Ψ is well-defined and a bimodule homomorphism. We show that Ψ preserves the inner product. For simplicity of the notation, we consider the case when $m = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2, \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \rangle_A(w_2) = \langle \xi_2, \langle \xi_1, \eta_1 \rangle_A \cdot \eta_2 \rangle_A(w_2) \\
&= \sum_{w_1 \in R^{-1}(w_2)} \frac{1}{|R'(w_1)|} \overline{\xi_2(w_1)} \, (\langle \xi_1, \eta_1 \rangle_A \cdot \eta_2)(w_1) \\
&= \sum_{w_1 \in R^{-1}(w_2)} \frac{1}{|R'(w_1)|} \overline{\xi_2(w_1)} \left(\sum_{z \in R^{-1}(w_1)} \frac{1}{|R'(z)|} \overline{\xi_1(z)} \eta_1(z) \right) \eta_2(w_1) \\
&= \sum_{z \in (R^{\circ 2})^{-1}(w_2)} \frac{1}{|R'(R(z))R'(z)|} \overline{\xi_1(z)\xi_2(R(z))} \eta_1(z)\eta_2(R(z)) \\
&= \sum_{z \in (R^{\circ 2})^{-1}(w_2)} \frac{1}{|(R^{\circ 2})'(z)|} \overline{\xi_1(z)\xi_2(R(z))} \eta_1(z)\eta_2(R(z)) \\
&= \langle \Psi(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2), \Psi(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) \rangle_A(w_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Since Ψ preserves the inner product, Ψ is injective. We next prove that Ψ is surjective. Since $\operatorname{Im}\Psi$ is $*$-subalgebra of $X_{R^{\circ m}}$ and separates the two points, $\operatorname{Im}\Psi$ is dense in $X_{R^{\circ m}}$ with respect to $\| \ \|$ by the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem. Since $\| \ \|$ and $\| \ \|_2$ are equivalent and Ψ is isometric with respect to $\| \ \|_2$, Ψ is surjective. □

5. QUOTIENT ALGEBRA $\mathcal{OC}_R$

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1.1. Let R be a finite Blaschke product. We show that the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is isomorphic to the crossed product $C(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ in Theorem 1.1 or the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ associated to the Hilbert bimodule X_R over $C(\mathbb{T})$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let R be a finite Blaschke product. If R is a Möbius transformation of finite order q, then $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, where $\alpha(a) = a \circ R$ for $a \in C(\mathbb{T})$. Otherwise, there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{OC}_R \to \mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ such that $\Phi(\pi(T_a)) = a$ for $a \in C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\Phi(\pi(C_R)) = S_1$, where π is the canonical quotient map $\mathcal{TC}_R$ to $\mathcal{OC}_R$ and 1 is the constant map in X_R taking constant value 1.*

Proof. If R is a Möbius transformation of finite order, this statement is the same as Theorem 1.1. Otherwise, it follows immediately from Propositions 3.3 and 4.4. □

Remark. Let R be a finite Blaschke product and $\alpha(a) = a \circ R$ for $a \in C(\mathbb{T})$. It is easy to see that the Aleksandrov operator A_R on $C(\mathbb{T})$ is a transfer operator for the pair $(C(\mathbb{T}), \alpha)$ in the sense of Exel [7]. If R is a Möbius transformation of infinite order which maps $\mathbb{D}$ to itself or a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two, then the element $\pi(C_R)$ of the composition operator in $\mathcal{OC}_R$ corresponds exactly to the implementing element in Exel's crossed product $C(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes_{\alpha,A_R} \mathbb{N}$ in [7]. It follows directly from the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ is naturally isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes_{\alpha,A_R} \mathbb{N}$.

Remark. Let R be a Möbius transformation of finite order q. It is known that R is conjugate to $\varphi(z) = e^{2\pi i(p/q)}z$ by a Möbius transformation of $\mathbb{D}$ where p/q is in lowest terms. By Theorem 5.1, $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, where $\beta(a) = a \circ \varphi$ for $a \in C(\mathbb{T})$. It is known that the crossed product $C(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to $M_q(C(\mathbb{T}))$ since the orbit space $\mathbb{T}/\varphi$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}$. Therefore $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is isomorphic to $M_q(C(\mathbb{T}))$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let R be a finite Blaschke product. Then $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is separable and nuclear, and satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.1, [17, Corollary 7.4, Proposition 8.8], and the above remark. □

We compute the K-group of $\mathcal{OC}_R$. The finite orthonormal basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X_R plays an important role in this proof.

Theorem 5.3. *Let R be a finite Blaschke product of degree n.*

1. *If $n = 1$ and R has finite order, then $K_0(\mathcal{OC}_R) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $K_1(\mathcal{OC}_R) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.*
2. *If $n = 1$ and R has infinite order, then $K_0(\mathcal{OC}_R) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $K_1(\mathcal{OC}_R) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$.*
3. *If $n \geq 2$, then $(\, K_0(\mathcal{OC}_R),\, [I]_0,\, K_1(\mathcal{OC}_R)\,) \cong (\, \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(n-1)\mathbb{Z},\, (0,1),\, \mathbb{Z}\,)$.*

Proof. By the above remark, (1) is obvious. It is sufficient to compute the K-group of $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ by Theorem 5.1. Put $A = C(\mathbb{T})$. We use the following six-term exact sequence due to Pimsner [28] (see also [17]).

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_0(A) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id} - {[X_R]_0}"] & K_0(A) \arrow[r, "i_*"] & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_R}) \arrow[d, "\delta_0"] \\
K_1(\mathcal{O}_{X_R}) \arrow[u, "\delta_1"] & K_0(A) \arrow[l, "i_*"'] & K_1(A), \arrow[l, "\mathrm{id} - {[X_R]_1}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $i : A \to \mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ is the natural inclusion and $[X_R]_j$ are the following composition maps

$$K_j(A) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} K_j(\mathcal{L}(X_R)) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_j(A)$$

for $j = 0, 1$. We can identify $\mathcal{L}(X_R)$ with $M_n(A)$ using the finite orthonormal basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X_R. By the definition of the action of X_R, $\phi(R)u_i = u_i \cdot e_1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus

$$\phi(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(R) = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $e_1(z) = z$ for $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Since $R : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ is an orientation-preserving 1 to n map, we have $[R]_1 = n[e_1]_1$. Thus $[X_R]_0([1]_0) = n[1]_0$ and $[X_R]_1(n[e_1]_1) = [X_R]_1([R]_1) = n[e_1]_1$. Since $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}[1]_0$ and $K_1(A) = \mathbb{Z}[e_1]_1$, $[X_R]_0$ is the endomorphism induced by multiplication by n and $[X_R]_1$ is the identity map. Therefore we can compute the K-group by the above exact sequence. Let $n \geq 2$. Using again the above exact sequence, $[1]_0$ corresponds to $(k, 1) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(n-1)\mathbb{Z}$ for some k. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n S_{u_i} S_{u_i}^* = 1$ and $S_{u_i}^* S_{u_i} = 1$, we have $(n-1)[1]_0 = 0$. Thus $k = 0$. ☐

Let R be a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two. We next consider the simplicity of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$. We show that it can be characterized by the dynamics near the Denjoy-Wolff point of R. First we consider a relation between the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ and the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_R(J_R)$ associated with the complex dynamical system introduced in [15].

Let R be a rational function of degree at least two. We recall the definition of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_R(J_R)$. Since the Julia set J_R is completely invariant under R, that is, $R(J_R) = J_R = R^{-1}(J_R)$, we can consider the restriction $R|_{J_R} : J_R \to J_R$. Let $B = C(J_R)$ and $X = C(\operatorname{graph} R|_{J_R})$, where $\operatorname{graph} R|_{J_R} = \{(z, w) \in J_R \times J_R \mid w = R(z)\}$ is the graph of R. We denote by $e(z)$ the branch index of R at z. Then X is a B-B bimodule over B by

$$(a \cdot f \cdot b)(z, w) = a(z) f(z, w) b(w), \quad a, b \in B, \ f \in X.$$

We define a B-valued inner product $\langle \ , \ \rangle_B$ on X by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_B(w) = \sum_{z \in R^{-1}(w)} e(z) \overline{f(z, w)} g(z, w), \quad f, g \in X, \ w \in J_R.$$

Thanks to the branch index $e(z)$, the inner product above gives a continuous function and X is a full Hilbert bimodule over B without completion. The left action of B is unital and faithful. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_R(J_R)$ is defined as the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra of the Hilbert bimodule $X = C(\operatorname{graph} R|_{J_R})$ over $B = C(J_R)$.

Let R be a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two. By Proposition 2.4, the Julia set J_R is $\mathbb{T}$ or a Cantor set on $\mathbb{T}$. Since R has no branched point on $\mathbb{T}$, the branch index of R is $e(z) = 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $Y_R = C(J_R)$. Then Y_R is a B-B bimodule by

$$(a \cdot \xi \cdot b)(z) = a(z) \xi(z) b(R(z)), \quad a, b \in B, \ \xi \in Y_R.$$

We define a B-valued inner product $\langle \ , \ \rangle_B$ on Y_R by

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_B(w) = \sum_{z \in R^{-1}(w)} \frac{1}{|R'(z)|} \overline{\xi(z)} \eta(z), \quad \xi, \eta \in Y_R, \ w \in J_R.$$

Let $\Psi : X \to Y_R$ be given by $(\Psi(f))(z) = \sqrt{|R'(z)|}\, f(z, R(z))$ for $f \in X$ and $z \in J_R$. Since $|R'|$ is a positive invertible function on J_R, it is easy to see that Ψ is an isomorphism of Hilbert bimodules over B. Therefore $\mathcal{O}_R(J_R)$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{Y_R}$.

We shall show a quotient algebra of $\mathcal{OC}_R$ by an ideal induced by the Julia set of R is isomorphic to the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_R(J_R)$ associated with the complex dynamical system $\{R^{\circ m}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$. We use a theorem on ideals of the Cuntz-Pimsner algebras. There have been many studies on ideals of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras [9, 14, 18, 28].

Proposition 5.4. *Let R be a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two and let π be the canonical quotient map $\mathcal{TC}_R$ to $\mathcal{OC}_R$. Suppose $\mathcal{J}_R$ is the ideal of $\mathcal{OC}_R$ generated by $\{\pi(T_a)\,|\,a \in C(\mathbb{T}),\, a|_{J_R} = 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{OC}_R/\mathcal{J}_R$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_R(J_R)$. In particular, $\mathcal{OC}_R/\mathcal{J}_R$ is simple. Moreover, if $J_R = \mathbb{T}$, then $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_R(J_R)$.*

Proof. Let $I_R = \{a \in A = C(\mathbb{T}) \mid a|_{J_R} = 0\}$ and let $\mathcal{I}_R$ be the ideal generated by I_R in $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}/\mathcal{I}_R$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{Y_R}$ because there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{OC}_R \to \mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ as in Theorem 5.1. Since J_R is completely invariant under R, we have $\langle \xi, a \cdot \eta \rangle_A = 0$ for $a \in I_R$. Thus I_R is an X_R-invariant ideal. See [9, 14] for the definition of invariant ideals. Therefore $X_R/X_R I_R$ is naturally a Hilbert bimodule over A/I_R whose left action is faithful. Since X_R has a finite basis, $\phi(A)$ is included in $\mathcal{K}(X_R) = \mathcal{L}(X_R)$, where ϕ is the left action of X_R. By [9, Corollary 3.3], the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{X_R/X_R I_R}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}/\mathcal{I}_R$. We can identify $X_R/X_R I_R$ with the Hilbert bimodule Y_R over $B \cong A/I_R$, which completes the proof. □

We give a characterization of simplicity of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ as a corollary using the Julia set of R.

Corollary 5.5. *Let R be a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two. Then the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is simple if and only if $J_R = \mathbb{T}$. Furthermore, if $J_R = \mathbb{T}$, then $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is purely infinite.*

Proof. We use the same notation in the previous proof. Suppose $J_R \neq \mathbb{T}$. Then I_R is a proper ideal in $A = C(\mathbb{T})$. The isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{X_R/X_R I_R}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}/\mathcal{I}_R$ in the previous proof maps $[a]_{A/I_R}$ to $[a]_{\mathcal{O}_{X_R}/\mathcal{I}_R}$ for $a \in A$, where $[a]_{A/I_R}$ and $[a]_{\mathcal{O}_{X_R}/\mathcal{I}_R}$ are images of $a \in A$ under quotient maps $A \to A/I_R$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X_R} \to \mathcal{O}_{X_R}/\mathcal{I}_R$ respectively. Therefore $\mathcal{I}_R$ is a proper ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ and $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is not simple. The rest follows immediately from Proposition 5.4 and [15, Theorem 3.8]. □

We show that the simplicity of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ can be characterized by the dynamics near the Denjoy-Wolff point of R.

Theorem 5.6. *Let R be a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two. If R has a fixed point in $\mathbb{D}$ or a parabolic fixed point on $\mathbb{T}$ with two attracting petals, then the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is simple. If R has an attracting fixed point on $\mathbb{T}$ or a parabolic fixed point on $\mathbb{T}$ with one attracting petal, then C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is not simple.*

Proof. Use Propositions 2.4 and 5.4. □

Example. Let $P_n(z) = z^n$ for $n \geq 2$. Since R has a fixed point 0 in $\mathbb{D}$, the Julia set J_{P_n} is $\mathbb{T}$. Therefore the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_{P_n}$ is simple. We note that the finite orthonormal basis $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^n$ of X_{P_n} is given by $u_k(z) = z^{k-1}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$.

We gave the following examples $R_1, \dots, R_4$ in the introduction of this papar. Now we discuss the simplicity of these examples using Theorem 5.6.

Example. Let $R_1(z) = \frac{2z^2-1}{2-z^2}$. Since R_1 has a fixed point $\frac{-3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ in $\mathbb{D}$, the Julia set J_{R_1} is $\mathbb{T}$. Therefore the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_{R_1}$ is simple.

Example. Let $R_2(z) = \frac{2z^2+1}{2+z^2}$. Since R_2 has an attracting fixed point 1 on $\mathbb{T}$, the Julia set J_{R_2} is a Cantor set on $\mathbb{T}$. Therefore the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_{R_2}$ is not simple.

Example. Let $R_3(z) = \frac{3z^2+1}{3+z^2}$. Since R_3 has a parabolic fixed point 1 on $\mathbb{T}$ with two attracting petals, the Julia set J_{R_3} is $\mathbb{T}$. Therefore the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_{R_3}$ is simple.

Example. Let $R_4(z) = \frac{(3+i)z^2+(1-i)}{(3-i)+(1+i)z^2}$. It is easy to see that R_4 is a finite Blaschke product. Since R_4 has a parabolic fixed point 1 on $\mathbb{T}$ with one attracting petal, the Julia set J_{R_4} is a Cantor set on $\mathbb{T}$. Therefore the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_{R_4}$ is not simple.

The following theorem implies that the degree is a complete isomorphism invariant for the class of $\mathcal{OC}_R$ such that R is a finite Blaschke product of degree at least two and $J_R = \mathbb{T}$.

Theorem 5.7. *Let R_1 and R_2 be finite Blaschke products of degree at least two. If $\mathcal{OC}_{R_1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{OC}_{R_2}$, then R_1 and R_2 have the same degree. Moreover, suppose $J_{R_1} = J_{R_2} = \mathbb{T}$. If R_1 and R_2 have the same degree, then $\mathcal{OC}_{R_1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{OC}_{R_2}$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Corollary 5.2, Theorem 5.3, Corollary 5.5 and [19, 27]. □

Example. Let R be a finite Blaschke product of degree n at least two with $R(0) = 0$. This case was studied in [10]. Since R has a fixed point 0 in $\mathbb{D}$, the Julia set J_R is $\mathbb{T}$. By Theorem 5.7, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R$ is isomorphic to the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_{P_n}$. This was already proved in [10], using the fact that R is topologically conjugate to P_n.

Example. Let $R_1(z) = \frac{2z^2-1}{2-z^2}$ and $R_3(z) = \frac{3z^2+1}{3+z^2}$. Then $J_{R_1} = J_{R_3} = \mathbb{T}$ and R_1 and R_3 have the same degree two. By Theorem 5.7, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_{R_1}$ is isomorphic to the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{OC}_{R_3}$. Moreover $\mathcal{OC}_{R_i}$ is also isomorphic to $\mathcal{OC}_{P_2}$ for $i = 1, 3$.

Let R be a finite Blaschke product of degree n at least two satisfying (2) in Proposition 2.4. By Proposition 5.4, the quotient algebra $\mathcal{OC}_R/\mathcal{J}_R$ is simple. Furthermore, we can show that $\mathcal{OC}_R/\mathcal{J}_R$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$.

Proposition 5.8. *Let R be a finite Blaschke product of degree n at least two which has an attracting fixed point on $\mathbb{T}$ and let π be the canonical quotient map $\mathcal{TC}_R$ to $\mathcal{OC}_R$. Suppose $\mathcal{J}_R$ is the ideal of $\mathcal{OC}_R$ generated by $\{\pi(T_a) \mid a \in C(\mathbb{T}),\ a|_{J_R} = 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{OC}_R/\mathcal{J}_R$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$.*

Proof. Let w_0 be the attracting fixed point on $\mathbb{T}$ and let $B(R)$ be the set of all branched points of R. Since $B(R) \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{T}$ and F_R is a connected set containing $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{T}$ and w_0, $B(R)$ lies in the immediate attracting basin of w_0. Applying Proposition 5.4 and [15, Example 4.4], we have the desired conclusion. □

Example. Let $R_2(z) = \frac{2z^2+1}{2+z^2}$. Then $\mathcal{OC}_{R_2}/\mathcal{J}_{R_2}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$.

Example. Let $R_0(z) = \frac{2z^2-1}{z}$. This is considered in [15, Example 4.4]. Since R_0 maps the upper half plane to itself and $R_0(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}$, the rational function R_0 is conjugate to a finite Blaschke product R by the Cayley transformation. Since R has an attracting fixed point 1, $\mathcal{OC}_R/\mathcal{J}_R$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$.

Acknowledgement. The auther thanks Professor Yasuo Watatani for his constant encouragement and advice.

References

[1] A. B. Aleksandrov, *Multiplicity of boundary values of inner functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR Ser. Mat. **22** (1987), 490–503.

[2] L. Carleson and T. W. Gamelin, *Complex dynamics*, Universitext, Tracts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[3] J. A. Cima, A. L. Matheson and W. T. Ross, *The Cauchy transform*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 125, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.

[4] D. N. Clark, *One dimensional perturbations of restricted shifts*, J. Analyse Math. **25** (1972), 169–191.

[5] D. Courtney, P. S. Muhly and S. W. Schmidt, *Composition Operators and Endomorphisms*, to appear in Complex Anal. Oper. Theory. arXiv:1003.2806.

[6] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.

[7] R. Exel, *A new look at the crossed-product of a C^*-algebra by an endomorphism*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **23** (2003), 1733–1750.

[8] R. Exel and A. Vershik, *C^*-algebras of irreversible dynamical systems*, Canad. J. Math. **58** (2006), 39–63.

[9] N. J. Fowler, and P. S. Muhly and I. Raeburn, *Representations of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (2003), 569–605.

[10] H. Hamada and Y. Watatani, *Toeplitz-composition C^*-algebras for certain finite Blaschke products*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 2113–2123.

[11] M. T. Jury, *The Fredholm index for elements of Toeplitz-composition C^*-algebras*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **58** (2007), 341–362.

[12] M. T. Jury, *C^*-algebras generated by groups of composition operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), 3171–3192.

[13] M. T. Jury, *Completely positive maps induced by composition operators*, preprint.

[14] T. Kajiwara, C. Pinzari and Y. Watatani, *Ideal structure and simplicity of the C^*-algebras generated by Hilbert bimodules*, J. Funct. Anal. **159** (1998), 295–322.

[15] T. Kajiwara and Y. Watatani, *C^*-algebras associated with complex dynamical systems*, Indiana Math. J. **54** (2005), 755–778.

[16] T. Katsura, *A class of C^*-algebras generalizing both graph algebras and homeomorphism C^*-algebras I, Fundamental results*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), 4287–4322.

[17] T. Katsura, *On C^*-algebras associated with C^*-correspondences*, J. Funct. Anal. **217** (2004), 366–401.

[18] T. Katsura, *Ideal structure of C^*-algebras associated with C^*-correspondences*, Pacific J. Math. **230** (2007), 107–145.

[19] E. Kirchberg, *The classification of purely infinite C^*-algebras using Kasparov's theory*, preprint.

[20] T. L. Kriete, B. D. MacCluer and J. L. Moorhouse, *Toeplitz-composition C^*-algebras*, J. Operator Theory **58** (2007), 135–156.

[21] T. L. Kriete, B. D. MacCluer and J. L. Moorhouse, *Spectral theory for algebraic combinations of Toeplitz and composition operator*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), 2378–2409.

[22] T. L. Kriete, B. D. MacCluer and J. L. Moorhouse, *Composition operators within singly generated composition C^*-algebras*, Israel J. Math. **179** (2010), 449–477.

[23] J. E. Littlewood, *On inequalities in the theory of functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **23** (1925), 481–519.

[24] F. Malmquist, *Sur la détermination d'une classe de fonctions analytiques par leurs valeurs dans un ensemble donné de points*, Comptes Rendus du Sixième Congrès des Mathématiciens Scandinaves, (Copenhagen, Denmark, 1925), 1926, pp. 253–259. Parallel title: *Matematiker*

kongressen i København 31. August – 4. September 1925, Den sjette skandinaviske matematikerkongres, København, 1925.

[25] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in one complex variable*, Introductory lectures. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1999.

[26] E. Park, *Toeplitz algebras and extensions of irrational rotation algebras*, Canad. Math. Bull. **48** (2005), 607–613.

[27] N. C. Phillips, *A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C^*-algebras*, Doc. Math. **5** (2000), 49–114.

[28] M. V. Pimsner, *A class of C^*-algebras generating both Cuntz-Krieger algebras and crossed product by $\mathbb{Z}$* , Free Probability Theory, Fields Inst. Commun., Vol 12, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, pp. 189–212.

[29] K. S. Quertermous, *A semigroup composition C^*-algebra*, to appear in J. Operator Theory, arXiv:0909.0956.

[30] J. V. Ryff, *Subordinate H^p functions*, Duke Math. J. **33** (1966), 347–354.

[31] J. H. Shapiro, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*, Universitext, Tracts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[32] S. Takenaka, *On the orthogonal functions and a new formula of interpolation*, Japan. J. Math. **2** (1925), 129–145.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Motooka, Fukuoka, 819-0395, Japan.

E-mail address: `h-hamada@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`